```
TRACY L. WILKISON
1
   Acting United States Attorney
   SCOTT M. GARRINGER
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   VIBHAV MITTAL (Cal. Bar No. 257874)
   Assistant United States Attorney
 4
   Deputy Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office
         8000 United States Courthouse
 5
        411 West Fourth Street
        Santa Ana, California 92701
 6
        Telephone: (714) 338-3534
 7
        Facsimile: (714) 338-3708
        E-mail:
                     vibhav.mittal@usdoj.gov
8
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
9
10
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
                                       No. SA CR 16-36-CJC
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13
             Plaintiff,
                                       STIPULATION REGARDING THE NINTH
                                       CIRCUIT'S MANDATE
14
                  v.
15
   NIKISHNA POLEQUAPTEWA,
16
        Defendant.
17
18
        Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
19
   of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
20
   California and Assistant United States Attorney Vibhav Mittal, and
21
   defendant NIKISHNA POLEQUAPTEWA, by and through his counsel of
22
   record, Deputy Federal Public Defender Jonathan K. Ogata, hereby
```

1. Defendant was convicted at trial following the Court's denial of his motion to suppress. Defendant appealed his conviction, including the denial of the motion to suppress.

2728

23

24

25

26

stipulate as follows:

- 2. On May 26, 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ("Ninth Circuit") issued a memorandum, vacating the Court's denial of the motion to suppress and remanding the matter with instructions to conduct a new trial only if the Court grants the suppression motion on remand.
- 3. On June 17, 2021, the Ninth Circuit issued its formal mandate.
- 4. Since the issuance of the mandate, the parties have been conferring on next steps. Moreover, counsel for defendant (who was not trial counsel prior to the appeal) is conferring with defendant on next steps.
- 5. Defendant would like until August 27, 2021, to confer with counsel for defendant and the government on next steps, including a proposed briefing schedule and hearing date.
- 6. The continuance is necessary to allow counsel for defendant to become sufficiently familiar with the matter and confer with defendant.
- 7. To the extent defendant has a right to have this matter heard before then, counsel for defendant has conferred with defendant and counsel for defendant represents that defendant waives any such right.
- 8. The government has no objection to this requested continuance.

9. If the request is granted, the parties will file a 1 stipulation by or on August 27, 2021, along with a proposed order, 2 to set further dates in this matter. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 TRACY L. WILKISON Dated: July 27, 2021 5 Acting United States Attorney 6 SCOTT M. GARRINGER Assistant United States Attorney 7 Chief, Criminal Division 8 /s/ 9 VIBHAV MITTAL Assistant United States Attorney 10 Deputy Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 13 14 /s/ per email authorization July 27, 2021 15 JONATHAN K. OGATA Date Attorney for Defendant 16 NIKISHNA POLEQUAPTEWA 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 3