UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 19-CR-151

CEDRIC COHEN

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S AMENDED MOTION TO REVIEW DETENTION ORDER

Defendant, Cedric Cohen ("Cohen"), by his attorney Craig S. Powell of HART POWELL, SC, hereby respectfully moves the Court pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b) to review the order of detention entered on November 16, 2019, to allow for Cohen's release upon conditions.

AS GROUNDS, the defendant asserts conditions of release exist which will reasonably assure the safety of the community and the appearance of the defendant in court under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c) and also asserts as follows:

I. Procedural Background

1. On September 9, 2019, Cohen was named as one of 11 defendants in an 11-count indictment returned by the grand jury. Cohen was charged in three counts: (1) a conspiracy spanning from May 2017 to August 2, 2019 to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute various controlled substances violation of Title 21, U.S.C., §§ 846, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A); (2)

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595

a substantive count of possession of cocaine with intent to distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C.

§§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(c); and (3) possessing a firearm in furtherance of "the drug-trafficking

crimes charged in Count One and Nine" in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

2. Cohen made his initial appearance with a representative of the Federal Defender's office

and was arraigned on September 19, 2019. A federal detainer was ordered because, at that

time, Cohen was in state custody related to Brown County case 19CF970. This state case was

based on the execution of a search warrant underpinning this federal prosecution. (Dkt. #50).

The Brown County case was dismissed after Cohen was arraigned in this Court.

3. Undersigned counsel was then appointed to represent Cohen in this matter and a

detention hearing was held on October 11, 2019. At the hearing, Magistrate Judge Sickel

ordered that Cohen be detained pending trial (Dkt. #82), and a written Order of Detention

Pending Trial was filed on November 16, 2019. (Dkt. #83). The detention order cited the

rebuttable presumption of detention pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) based on the controlled

substance charge and found that Cohen had not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the

presumption. (Id.). Cohen continues to be detained at the Brown County Jail.

4. The case has been designated complex on the Government's motion (Dkt. #59). The

original trial date was scheduled for June 15, 2020. (Dkt. #106). On May 19, 2020, the

Government moved to adjourn the jury trial in this matter due to the impact of the emergency

orders necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic. (Dkt. #135). The Court granted the

Government's motion and rescheduled the trial to, as of now, October 19, 2020.

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595

II. Applicable Law

5. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b), Cohen respectfully requests the Court amend the

detention order to allow Cohen to be released pending trial under conditions as determined by

the Court.

6. A defendant may only be detained if there is no set of release conditions under 18

U.S.C. § 3142(b) that will reasonably secure the safety of the community or that will

reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(1). Although §

3142(e)(2) delineates several offenses for which a presumption of detention arises, the

presumption may be rebutted by "[a]ny evidence favorable to a defendant" that bears on any

one of the factors in § 3142(g): (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, (2) the

weight of the evidence against the defendant, (3) the history and characteristics of the person,

or (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be

posed by the person's release. *United States v. Dominguez*, 783 F.2d 702, 707 (7th Cir. 1986).

Indeed, the burden of production for rebutting the presumption "is not a heavy one to meet."

Id.

III. Information and Conditions

8. Cohen asserts that, although his charges trigger the presumption of detention under §

3142(e)(3), there exists favorable evidence that rebuts the presumption that no set of

conditions of release will reasonably secure the safety of the community or assure the

defendant's appearance at trial. Some of the arguments and positions herein are the same as

Cohen's previous motion (Dkt. #139); however, there is also new information since his last

request that helps overcome the presumption of detention.

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595

9. As argued previously, Cohen's history does not indicate that his release would pose a

risk to the safety of the community. Cohen's criminal history is dated. His most recent

conviction was over a decade ago for misdemeanor possession of a controlled substance

(Outagamie Co. Case 09CM484; Dkt. #46). Prior to that, he had misdemeanor convictions

for damage to property and battery in 2004, failure to pay child support in 2000 and 2001,

and misdemeanor battery in 1998. (Dkt. #46). The batteries, which superficially could be

claimed to indicate dangerousness, occurred within the context of an intimate relationship

16 and 22 years ago. None of these convictions demonstrate any level of danger to the

community at large, or a current danger to any specific person.

10. In addition, Cohen's current charges are non-violent in nature. Cohen is alleged to

have been involved in a controlled-substance conspiracy; he is not accused of involvement

in any violent or threatening behavior. The firearm charge is based upon the discovery of a

handgun in a dresser drawer during the execution of a search warrant at Cohen's home.

There are no allegations, and there is no evidence contained in the mountain of discovery

provided, that Cohen ever traveled with the gun, used the gun, brandished the gun, or

possessed it during any transactions related to the conspiracy.

11. In addition to the lack of evidence of dangerousness, nothing in Cohen's history

suggests he presents a risk of non-appearance. The bond study cited as factors posing this

risk: (1) the offense charged; (2) lack of verifiable, legitimate employment; and (3) lack of

stable residence. (Dkt. #46). As for employment, the bond study notes that Cohen described

earning a living over the last 3 years by reselling high-end sneakers. The bond study

confirmed this business through an interview with his close friend, Angela Vandevelde.

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595

(Id.). Nevertheless, the bond study appears to describe it as non-verifiable. The bond study

also categorizes this mode of income as not "legitimate" without further explanation. The

fact is that the sneaker re-sale market is massive. See, How a Single Pair of Sneakers

Explains the Booming Billion-Dollar Sneaker Resale Industry – Inside the wild, shockingly

lucrative world of sneaker reselling., Cam Wolf, www.gq.com/story/stadium-goods-

tracking-a-sneaker (last accessed June 17, 2020). Additionally, throughout the discovery

provided in the case, Cohen references his shoe re-selling enterprise.

12. Cohen's employment history aside, Cohen has a legitimate, verifiable job available to

him at American Foods in Green Bay if released by the Court. Undersigned counsel spoke

with Tim Carney at American Foods on June 17, 2021. Carney, who is aware of Cohen's

current status and charges, informed counsel that he has positions open in the harvesting and

butchering sides of the business, either of which would provide 50-60 hours per week at a

rate of just under \$20.00 per hour. Carney advised that, if released, Cohen would be able to

appear on a Tuesday to fill out the necessary paperwork and begin work on the following

day, Wednesday, which is the orientation day for new employees.

13. The bond study also noted a lack of a stable residence as presenting a risk of non-

appearance. At the time of his arrest, Cohen was splitting his time between Green Bay and

Florida, and he was intending to move there. Since his arrest, however, Cohen no longer has

a residence in Florida, all such ties have been severed, and his only ties are to Green Bay.

14. As for new information, perhaps most critical to this request is the availability of a

stable residence for Cohen. Cohen has been offered residence with Heather Zenz, in

DePere. Zenz is considered by Cohen to be his sister-in-law. She is Cohen's brother's ex-

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595

girlfriend and the mother of Cohen's 9-year-old nephew. Zenz has known Cohen since he

was a 15-year-old boy. She lives with her two children in a duplex owned by her mother.

She has lived there for 14 years. Her mother knows Cohen as well and supports his

residency there for the remaining pendency of the case. The residence has a bedroom in the

basement that Cohen can use as his own. Ms. Zenz works as a waitress and reports having

no criminal record¹. This appears to be an appropriate residence for Cohen and does not

increase the currently minimal risk of non-appearance in the future.

17. As for the nature of the charged offense, the only relevance to the risk of non-

appearance is the potential penalty Cohen faces if convicted as charged. But this risk can

easily be addressed and alleviated through a condition of electronic/location monitoring or

other contact measures with pre-trial services.

18. In sum, while a presumption of detention applies based on the charges filed, nothing

about the actual facts of the case or Cohen's personal history portends danger to the

community or flight from the jurisdiction if he is released on the conditions offered below.

19. Further, the Court should take into account the fact that Cohen has been in pre-trial

confinement for 2 years, and we remain months away from a trial date. The delays in the

progress of the case are not attributable to any conduct of Cohen's.

20. To reasonably assure the safety of the community and his appearance in Court, it is

respectfully recommended that Cohen be released on a personal recognizance bond and

with the following conditions:

a. Cohen's travel shall be restricted to the Eastern District of Wisconsin;

b. Cohen shall reside with Ms. Zenz;

1 Undersigned counsel has not found any indication of a criminal record in CCAP.

•

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

(414) 271-9595

- c. Cohen shall maintain full-time employment;
- d. Cohen shall not possess any firearms or other dangerous weapons;
- e. Cohen shall have no contact with witnesses in this matter;
- f. Cohen shall be monitored in the community in the form of location monitoring and shall abide by all technology requirements. Voice recognition is considered a form of electronic monitoring and would be included in this condition;
- g. Cohen shall refrain from use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug or other controlled substances as defined in 21 U.S.C. §802, unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner; and
- h. Cohen shall report to Pretrial Services as directed.
- 21. In addition to the above conditions, Cohen will abide by any conditions the Court deems appropriate.

WHEREFORE, Cohen respectfully requests that the Court hold a detention hearing as soon as practicable to consider evidence supporting this motion and to amend the detention order entered in this matter to allow for Cohen's release upon conditions set by the Court.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 14th day of July, 2021

Respectfully,

/s/ Craig S. Powell

Craig S. Powell Attorney for Cedric Cohen Wisconsin Bar #1046248 cspowell@hartpowell.com

HART POWELL, SC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 735 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 1212 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 271-9595