UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

SENTENCING MINUTES

Case No. 20-CR-243

DNORABLE WILLIAM C. GRIESBACH presiding occeeding Held: June 10, 2021 Time Concluded: 1:56 p.m. puty Clerk: Mara Tape: 061021 Z		
Appearances:		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by:	Andrew J. Maier	
DIANNE MCLESTER-HEIM via video and by:	Shane Brabazon	
US PROBATION OFFICE by:	Brian Koehler	
☑ Defendant consents to appear and proceed by video hearing via video and specific findings to this case/defendant of justice in this matter.		
□ The parties have no objections to the factual statements in the PSR	☐ The parties have no objections to the application of the guidelines in the PSR	
 □ Objections/corrections to factual statements in PSR by □ Plaintiff □ Defendant 	 ☑ Objections/corrections to application of guidelines by ☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant Defendant objects to paragraph 29 of the PSR regarding a 2-level increase for abusing a position of public/private trust or use of special skill. Defendant also believes the defendant's municipal ordinances overstate the criminal history category. 	
□ The court adopts the factual statements and guideline application as set forth in the PSR. The Court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set forth. The court adopts the factual statements and guideline application as set forth in the PSR. The court adopts the factual statements and guideline application as set forth in the PSR. The court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set forth in the PSR. The court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set forth in the PSR. The court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set forth in the PSR. The court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set forth in the PSR. The court finds the defendant was in an appropriate position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set for the position of trust and finds that the 2-level enhancement is a set for the position of trust and finds the position of trust and	guideline application with these changes:	
 ☑ The government presents sentencing argument: Joint recommendation of probation and payment of restitution. ☑ Defendant exercises right of allocution. 	 The defendant presents sentencing argument: Joint recommendation of probation and payment of restitution. The court imposes sentence. 	
☐ The government dismisses count(s)	□ Defendant advised of appeal rights.	
The Court and parties state the total amount of restitution	n owed by the defendant is \$12,509.59, but she submitted	

The Court and parties state the total amount of restitution owed by the defendant is \$12,509.59, but she submitted a \$4,000.00 restitution payment on 5/25/2021 resulting in a remaining balance of **\$8,509.59**.

Case 1:20-cr-00243-WCG Filed 06/10/21 Page 1 of 3 Document 12

SENTENCE IMPOSED:				
Imprisonment:		as to Count(s)		
	of the	as to Count(s)		
	of the			
Imprisonment term for each count to be served □ concurrently □ consecutively. TOTAL TERM OF IMPRISONMENT IMPOSED: months.				
\Box This term of imprisonment is to be served (\Box concurrently with $\underline{\text{or}}$ \Box consecutively to) any state court sentence the defendant is currently serving.				
Probation:	3 years of the Indictment	as to Count(s) 1		
Supervised Release:	of the	as to Count(s)		
reicase.	of the	as to Count(s)		
MONETARY PENALTIES				
Special Assessm	ent: \$\frac{100.00}{}\$ due immediately			
Fine:	\$ ⊠ fine waived			
Restitution:	\$ 8,509.59 ☐ determination	deferred		
JOINT AND SEVERAL PAYMENTS				
 □ Fine and/or □ Restitution is joint and several with □ Repayment of Buy Money is joint and several with 				
FORFEITURE				
☐ All property forfeited upon conviction or by order of the court shall be included in the criminal judgment.				
RECOMMENDATIONS				
 □ The court recommends the defendant's placement at □ The court recommends the defendant's participation in the Bureau of Prisons' 500-hour drug treatment program. □ Other: 				
CUSTODY				
 □ The defendant is remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal Service. □ The defendant is to voluntarily surrender at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons as notified by the U.S. Probation Office; □ on or after Case 1:20-cr-00243-WCG Filed 06/10/21 Page 2 of 3 Document 12 				

CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE/PROBATION	
	The defendant does not object to the conditions of supervised release as set forth in the presentence investigation report. The defendant waives reading of the conditions of supervised release.
\boxtimes	Mandatory Conditions of Supervision imposed.
	The Court adopts the Standard Conditions of Supervision set forth in the presentence investigation report without change. The Court adopts the Standard Conditions of Supervision set forth in the presentence investigation report with the following changes:
	The Court adopts the Special Conditions of Supervision set forth in the presentence investigation report without change. The Court adopts the Special Conditions of Supervision set forth in the presentence investigation report with the following changes: The Court adds a condition that the defendant be placed on home confinement/electronic monitoring for 4 months.
	Case 1:20 or 002/2 WCC Filed 06/10/21 Page 2 of 2 Pagement 12
	Case 1:20-cr-00243-WCG Filed 06/10/21 Page 3 of 3 Document 12