## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.  ALEJANDRO LOPEZ  Defendant	) ) Case No. 19-CR-151  ) )
ORDER OF DETE	NTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I – Eli	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
<ul><li>☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursua</li><li>☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own</li></ul>	- 17.7.7
	tion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II – Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
that no condition or combination of condition community because the following conditions h  (1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo  (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 for which a maximum term of imprison  (b) an offense for which the maximum sen  (c) an offense for which a maximum term of controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. (21 U.S.C. (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 70  (d) any felony if such person has been contrough(c) of this paragraph, or two	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) nment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or attence is life imprisonment or death; or arm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the standard
<ul> <li>(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (i</li> <li>(2) the defendant has previously been convicted or of a State or local offense that would he jurisdiction had existed; and</li> <li>(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) about while the defendant was on release pending</li> </ul>	of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (v) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal cove for which the defendant has been convicted was committed g trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
	lapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the defendant ped in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

	<b>B.</b> ⊠	the defer	uttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a ttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the indant committed one or more of the following offenses: an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
		(3)	an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
		<b>(4)</b> a	an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
		<b>(5)</b>	An offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
$\boxtimes$	C.	Con	clusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
		$\boxtimes$	The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
			The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
			Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
hea			considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
	$\boxtimes$		clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably re the safety of any other person and the community.
	$\boxtimes$	-	preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required.
In a	additi	on to	any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
			Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
			History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment
			Lack of stable residence
			Lack of financially responsible sureties  Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
			Significant family or other ties outside the United States

☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

☐ Lack of legal status in the United States

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Detention Order Pending Trial Page 3 of 3
<ul> <li>□ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered</li> <li>□ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement</li> <li>□ Use of alias(es) or false documents</li> <li>□ Background information unknown or unverified</li> <li>□ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release</li> </ul>
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION
There is a presumption of detention in this case. The Court finds the drugs and firearms involved show a danger to the public. In addition, the strength of the evidence with the lengthy term of imprisonment if convicted make the defendant a risk for non-appearance.
Part IV – Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

s/ James R. Sickel

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: October 4, 2019