IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

ACF LEASING, LLC; ACF SERVICES,) LLC; and GENERATION CLEAN)		,
FUELS, LLC,		
Plaintiffs,)		
v.)	Case No. 14 L 2768	
GREEN BAY RENEWABLE ENERGY,) LLC; ONEIDA SEVEN GENERATIONS) CORPORATION; and THE ONEIDA) TRIBE OF INDIANS OF WISCONSIN,)	# 15	87
Defendants.		

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: Gerald M. Dombrowski, Esq.
Sanchez Daniels & Hoffman LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 500
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Guy R. Temple, Esq. Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren S.C. 1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

> ONEIDA SEVEN GENERATIONS CORPORATION; and THE ONEIDA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF WISCONSIN

One of its attorneys

James B. Vogts, Esq.
Thomas J. Verticchio, Esq.
Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP
330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60611
(312) 321-9100
(312) 321-0990 – Fax
Firm No. 29558

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

ACF LEASING, LLC; ACF SERVICES,) LLC; and GENERATION CLEAN) FUELS, LLC,)		2007.75
Plaintiffs,) v.)	Case No. 14 L 2768	8 7
GREEN BAY RENEWABLE ENERGY,) LLC; ONEIDA SEVEN GENERATIONS) CORPORATION; and THE ONEIDA) TRIBE OF INDIANS OF WISCONSIN,)		
Defendants.)		

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT RULE 304(a) FINDING

Defendants, Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin, for their motion for Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a) finding, state as follows:

- Plaintiffs, ACF Leasing, LLC, ACF Services, LLC, and Generation Clean Fuels,
 LLC, named three defendants in this action, Green Bay Renewable Energy, LLC, Oneida Seven
 Generations Corporation, and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin.
- 2. On October 8, 2014, the Court entered an order granting defendants Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin's motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, dismissing those defendants from this action (the "Order"). A copy of the Order is attached as Exhibit 1. Also on October 8, 2014, the Court denied, in part, defendant Green Bay Renewable Energy, LLC's motion to dismiss. As a result, claims against defendant Green Bay Renewable Energy, LLC remain pending.
- 3. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a) provides that "[i]f multiple parties or multiple claims for relief are involved in an action, an appeal may be taken from the final judgment as to

one or more but fewer than all of the parties or claims only if the trial court has made an express written finding that there is no just reason for delaying either enforcement or appeal or both." Ill. Sup. Ct. Rule 304(a).

- 4. An order is "final" for Rule 304(a) purposes if it provides for the "ultimate disposition of an individual claim entered in the course of a multiple claims action." Geier v. Hamer Enterprises, Inc., 226 Ill.App.3d 372, 379 (1st Dist. 1992) (citations and quotations omitted); see also Mar Cement, Inc. v. Diorio Builders, Inc., 153 Ill.App.3d 798, 801 (2d Dist. 1987) (Rule 304(a) "applies to orders that are final in character although entered in comprehensive proceedings that include other matters") (citation and quotation omitted). Here, the Order is "final" for Rule 304(a) purposes because it dismisses Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin on subject matter jurisdiction grounds, dismissing them as defendants in this multiple claim and multiple party action.
- 5. The Order dismisses Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin based upon the Court's determination that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over those defendants, an issue unique to Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin. There is no just reason for delaying appeal from the Order because it is desirable to require the plaintiffs to immediately appeal from the Court's subject matter jurisdiction decision if they intend to do so given that the legal basis for dismissal is unique to the dismissed defendants. Thus, certifying the Order for immediate appeal pursuant to Rule 304(a) is an appropriate exercise of the Court's discretion. Puleo v. McGladrey & Pullen, 315 Ill.App.3d 1041, 1046 (1st Dist. 2000) (trial court's Rule 304(a) finding is "a discretionary determination that permitting an immediate appeal, under the circumstances, would be desirable") (citation and quotation omitted).

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, defendants, Oneida Seven Generations Corporation and The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin, respectfully request the Court enter an order certifying its October 8, 2014 dismissal order for immediate appeal pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a), and that the Court enter such other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.

ONEIDA SEVEN GENERATIONS CORPORATION; and THE ONEIDA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF WISCONSIN

By:

One of their attorneys

James B. Vogts, Esq.
Thomas J. Verticchio, Esq.
Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP
330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60611
(312) 321-9100
(312) 321-0990 – Fax
Firm No. 29558

Thomas M. Pyper, Esq.

Pro Hac Vice Registration No. 6315077
Cynthia L. Buchko, Esq.

Pro Hac Vice Registration No. 6315078
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.
P.O. Box 1379
Madison, Wisconsin 53701
(608) 255-4440
(608) 258-7138 – Fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing **Defendants' Motion for Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a) Finding** was served upon:

Gerald M. Dombrowski, Esq. Sanchez Daniels & Hoffman LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois 60606 gdombrowski@sanchezdh.com Guy R. Temple, Esq. Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren S.C. 1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 gtemple@reinhartlaw.com

Jamela Canington

via Electronic Mail and U.S. Mail, proper postage prepaid, before the hour of 5:00 p.m., this 16th day of October, 2014, from the law offices of Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP, 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 3300, Chicago, Illinois 60611.

[v] Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this Certificate of Service are true and accurate.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

Plaintiff(s), I'll CEAL	No. 7014 L 2768
Plaintiff(s)	
v .	
GREN Bay (GREWARE ETA)	
Defendant(s)))
,	DER
The Cause Comes before	the Court for hearing on an actednots
The Opida Trise Didinis DW	5 And Oneigh Sonar Egentins Cop's
Motions to (a) DISMISS FOR LACE	of suspect matter JuisDiction Ad
(b) DISINIES FOR LACK OF PASSOR.	JUISDICKEN, the Court being informed
Order As follows:	
. The Defendants' motion to s	ousmiss for laci of subject mater
IVISDICTON IS STATED;	
2. The Adjudate Motion +	a JISMISS FOR TACK & DESCRIP
JWISTICTION IS MURED AS M	uct; Ad
	The Overda Tries O Idian DWs and
\	MR DOMISSED AS DEPOLATS
In this Action.	
Atty. No.: 29558	-
Name: TVerticork	ENTERED:
Atty. for: De. OSGR Ad Oneida Fin	ENTERED: Judge Margaret Ann Brennan
Address: 330 N. WARRY SA 33W	Judge "Contract 848
	Judge Circuit Counting 1848.
City/State/Zip: Chrac. Il (461)	
Telephone: 317 973 8771	EXHIBIT