

Description	Docket #13-TC-126 Leah Sue Dodge v. Oneida Land Commission		
Date	9/24/2013	Location	Tribal Judicial System

To the best of my knowledge this is a true and accurate partial transcript of the Case # 13-TC-126. The case of Leah Sue Dodge vs. Oneida Land Commission on September 24, 2013 at 1:58 p.m. in the Oneida Tribal Judicial System Court located at 3759 W. Mason Street, Suite # 1, Oneida, WI 54155. Due to the timeliness of this transcript it is not completely edited.



Kristina Danforth, Clerk of Courts - Trial
October 17, 2013

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Note</u>
<u>1:58:13 PM</u>	Clerk	All rise.
<u>1:58:21 PM</u>	Jean	Please have a seat.
<u>1:58:25 PM</u>	Clerk	This court is now in session. Today's date is Tuesday, September 24, 2013. Time is 1:58 p.m. We are today in case of Leah Sue Dodge vs. Oneida Land Commission. Docket #13-TC-126. The presiding hearing officers are Honorable Jean Webster, Honorable Jim VanStippen and Honorable Leland Wigg-Ninham. Will the parties please state and spell their names for the record, starting with the Petitioner.
<u>1:58:59 PM</u>	Leah	Petitioner is Leah Sue Dodge, it is spelled L-E-A-H S-U-E D-O-D-G-E
<u>1:59:15 PM</u>	Jean	Respondents?
<u>1:59:16 PM</u>	Rebecca	Rebecca Webster, R-E-B-E-C-C-A W-E-B-S-T-E-R. Attorney for the Oneida Land Commission and the Oneida Zoning Department.
<u>1:59:25 PM</u>	Jean	And also present?
<u>1:59:26 PM</u>	Troy	Troy Parr, Oneida Zoning Administrator. T-R-O-Y P-A-R-R
<u>1:59:34 PM</u>	Heidi	Heidi Wennesheimer, Senior Paralegal with the Oneida Law Office. W-E-N-N-E-S-H-E-I-M-E-R.
<u>1:59:43 PM</u>	Jean	And also present in the back is?
<u>1:59:45 PM</u>	Jason	Jason Fritzgerald. J-A-S-O-N F-R-I-T-Z-G-E-R-A-L-D.
<u>1:59:52 PM</u>	Jean	Now you are here today as an observer?
<u>1:59:52 PM</u>	Jason	Mmm hmm.

<u>1:59:56 PM</u>	Jean	<p>Before we get started here. Good afternoon, if any parties have a cell phone I ask that you or observers. If any parties or observers have cell phone I ask you either place them on silent mode or power them off. This after both parties will have an opportunity to address the court. Today we are hear as the Petitioner has ask the court to place a stay on a building that is been, on a building permit that's been granted through the Land Commission. So what I will need to hear today is before we grant a preliminary or grant an injunction. The parties will need to be specific as to why we should be granting a stay on the building, so I just want to make that clear and you will also need to describe in reasonable detail the act or acts sought to be restrained. However before we begin there, there's also been motion filed by the Petitioner for the recusal of myself, Chris Cornelius and Lois Powless. I would like to address that issue first along with Attorney Webster. As you may note that Chris Cornelius did recuse of herself from this case. Lois Powless would not be part of this case as she sits on the Appellant side she doesn't participate on the Trial side. For myself, I don't believe I need to recuse myself in this case. The Petitioner stated that I was close personal friend with Brian Doxtator. I would not consider myself as a close personal friend, perhaps a friend in say. As I know who Brian is but I would not consider myself as a close personal friend of his. Also my decisions when I make decisions, I base my decision on testimony provided, upon evidence and accordance to the laws of the Oneida Tribe. I do not base my decisions on who's before me. And when I talk about who's before me like just because I know Leah or I know Becky or I know Troy, I don't make my decisions based off the parties before. So I feel I can still remain biases and fair in the decisions that I do make. I also need to make mention that I am a relative to Attorney Webster, I have had Attorney Webster before me numerous of times and again for the same reasons, I still feel I can a render a fair and unbiased decision, even though Attorney Webster is before me. So with that reason I feel I do not need to recuse myself. Petitioner?</p>
<u>2:03:11 PM</u>	Leah	<p>I would like to announce my objection to that. I still maintain my objection for you to serve as a Judicial Officer in this case and I'm submitting an Addendum to the motion for recusal and substitution. Here is the original copy and I have the seven copies here as well.</p>
<u>2:03:27 PM</u>	Jean	<p>You need to bring copy here and also a copy the Respondent's. (entering Amended)</p>

2:03:27 PM	Leah	<p>And I would like to read into the record this is the Addendum which enumerates my objections to the basically entire pool officers and based upon the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct application page 3, defining immediate family. Throughout these Canons there are various references to a Judges immediate family. For purposes of these canons, the term immediate family shall be defined as husband, wife, mother, father, son, daughter, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, mother-in-law, father-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, first or second cousin, step-parent, or someone who is recognized by the Oneida General Tribal Council and/or its delegate as a member of the Judge's extended family. Number #1 The Petitioner strenuously objects to the assignment of Chris J. Cornelius to this particular case given the fact that she is the daughter of one of the Respondents, Patricia Cornelius, Oneida Land Commission, and Petitioner hereby does not grant any waiver of disqualification by Chris J. Cornelius in this case now or in the future. Any reasonable can see the blatant impropriety of any involvement in this case by Chris J. Cornelius. Umm, further by number #2 Further by the actio nof assignment of Chris J. Cornelius daughter of Respondent to this case involving the Oneida Land Commission the Oneida Judiciary has shown a clear disregard for the proper vetting of appropriate Judicial Officers in this case. To the Petitioner's knowledge as of September 24, 2013 Chris J. Cornelius has not recused herself from this case, and this obviously written before the hearing here. Nor have the other Judicial Officers required her to do so making them parties to this conflict of interest and impropriety through inaction and the apparent inability to self regulate. Number #3 Therefore, according to section 2.1.2 of the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct the Petitioner requests that the Judicial Officers presiding over this case to date and those on the entire list of officers as provided by deputy clerk Norma Brzezinski on September 23, 2013 attachment A, to disclose on the record information that the Judge believes the parties or their lawyers might reasonably consider relevant to a possible motion for disqualification even if the Judge believes theres no basis for disqualification. Number #4 The Petitioner requests that the following information to be disclosed on the record by the hearing officers presiding over this case to day Jean Webster, Leland Wigg-Ninham, Chris J. Cornelius and James VanStippen and the greater list of hearing officers potenentially to be assigned in the event of recusal/disqualification of the first four; (a) whether they or immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct have been past and/or present clients of Oneida Owista Taxes owned by the beneficiary of the Oneida Land Commission's contested decision Brian A. Doxtator.</p>
------------	------	--

2:06:42 PM	Leah	<p>(b) whether they or immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct have any personal, professional or fiduciary relationships between themselves and the Oneida Land Commission e.g employment by, residential and/or buisness leases, memorandi of agreements, or any other contractual obligations and/or personal, professional or fiduciary relationships with individual Oneida Land Commissions e.g financial partnerships, leases, contracts, employment by, etc. (c) whether Brian A. Doxtator and/or any of the Oneida Land Commission are their immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Conduct and. (d) whether they are immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct of Oneida Tribal Senior Staff Attorney Rebecca Webster who was present at and party to the contested decision by the Oneida Land Commission on August 12, 2013 and who will be called as a material witness to her participation at that hearing. (5) The Petitioner also requests the disqualification of Lois Powless from this case due to the fact that she is the Petitioner's Aunt wife of mother's late brother. (6) The Petitioner will object to any of the Judicial Officers which through this disclosure indicate any of the conflicts of interest as stated above and will file motions for disqualification as his or her impartiality can reasonably be questioned by not only the Petitioner but any other reasonable person. And there's an attachment A which is list of the hearing officers and this according to the Code of Conduct but the list of hearing officers that was given to me yesterday is Mary Adams, Chris Cornelius, Kathy Hughes, Janice McLester, Lois Powless, Sandra Skenadore, Winnifred Thomas, Jean Webster, Jennifer Webster, Stanley Webster, Leland Wigg-Ninham. And if there any others beside that who are in the pool active hearing officers I was not made aware of them.</p>
2:09:02 PM	Jean	<p>The court will enter record Petitioner exhibit #1, which was just read by the Petitioner. Leah you also mentioned you asked for the recusal of Attorney Webster.</p>
2:09:18 PM	Leah	<p>Yes.</p>
2:09:20 PM	Jean	<p>I will ask the Attorney Webster to respond to that.</p>
2:09:23 PM	Rebecca	<p>I will not recuse myself. I am the representative of the Oneida Land Commission and the Oneida Zoning Department and the Division of Land Management and as their attorney I can not be called as a material witness due to Attorney to client privilege. And there isn't anything just for the record that you don't already have access or that I would need to expand upon assuming that the Petitioner is going to rely on the documents in the record and on the tape of the public hearing.</p>

<u>2:09:58 PM</u>	Jean	I will note for the record Petitioner's request for the recusal. I will make note of that. However, I need to inform you Leah that if the court should grant your Addendum for the recusal and substitution, this is only going to delay further your petition. Right now we've moved it to October 9th, your claim is that there's a building that's being that given a permit to build. However, if we have to find substitution this is going to push it further out and its also going to allow the person with the building permit to continue building. We can't rule on halt if your going to, if the court should grant your request for continued recusal and substitution. So I just want make noted to you that should the court grant that, this could put out a lot further than October 9th, you know were scheduled to hear the merits of the case on that date. Today is just were addressing your continuance and your request for recusal and to place a halt you know on that building permit. I want to inform you of that you know depending where we have to go especially if we have to go to Wisconsin Tribal Judges, its all going to depend on their case, so this could put this out quite a bit.
<u>2:11:38 PM</u>	Leah	So noted and in efforts seek a fair hearing, I wish to have Judicial Officers that are not in violation of the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct and immediate family as in enumerated in the Exhibit A that I just entered and I also again like to note for the record what is the, as for the record what exactly is the relationship between Attorney Webster and yourself?
<u>2:12:01 PM</u>	Jean	Attorney Webster is married to my nephew.
<u>2:12:10 PM</u>	Leah	Okay. And also would like to note for the record that Attorney/ Client privilege can't possible extend to the fact that this was an open session so whatever was disclosed between her and umm the Oneida Land Commission was would have just been at that hearing. So I'm going need to question her as to the events of that hearing, of that open public hearing since she was present there and made statements at the hearing.
<u>2:12:46 PM</u>	Jean	I will also ask Judicial Wigg-Ninham and Judicial VanStippen to respond to your request for recusal.
<u>2:12:57 PM</u>	Leland	Under our Judicial Code under Rule 35 behavior, disability, disqualification of hearing officers. (c) disqualification Judicial Officers shall recuse themselves in cases where some conflict of interest exists, potentially exists, or may be perceived to exist for reasons set forth in the Oneida Judicial Code of Conduct and what I'm looking at is explained to me how you think my being on this case is conflict of interest.
<u>2:13:34 PM</u>	Leah	I would like for you to answer the quest to disclose any of the relationships that I have enumerated in number #4 of my exhibit A and there's a,b,c or d? So I'm requesting that you disclose whether you have any of those relationships as defined in a,b,c or d.
<u>2:13:55 PM</u>	Leland	What's
<u>2:13:55 PM</u>	Jean	Please identify what document.

<u>2:13:58 PM</u>	Leah	This would be the Addendum to motion for recusal and substitution that was just submitted here in at the hearing today.
<u>2:14:06 PM</u>	Leland	Oh okay. An you would like me to what?
<u>2:14:18 PM</u>	Leah	In umm number #4 a, b,c or d, to disclose whether you or your immediate family members as defined by the Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct have been past and/or present clients of Oneida Owista taxes?
<u>2:14:34 PM</u>	Leland	No.
<u>2:14:37 PM</u>	Leah	And so that goes for your immediate family members as well?
<u>2:14:39 PM</u>	Leland	Let's see I don't know maybe my son but I'm not sure. Let me see, I'm not sure on that.
<u>2:14:59 PM</u>	Jean	I will answer for myself on that one. I know as far immediate family, I have no idea of who they utilize for taxes.
<u>2:15:12 PM</u>	Jim	As far as these goes, I'm not related Brian and my family doesn't deal with Brian.
<u>2:15:25 PM</u>	Leah	Okay umm the second then going moving right along, item B whether you or your immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Tribal Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct have any personal, professional or fiduciary relationships between yourselves and the Oneida Land Commission, employment by, residential and/or business leases, memorandi of agreements or any other contractual obligations and/or personal, professional or fiduciary relationships with individual Oneida Land Commissioners e.g financial partnerships, leases, contracts, employment by etc.
<u>2:16:00 PM</u>	Leland	I don't.
<u>2:16:03 PM</u>	Jean	I purchased a home through land management about 5 years ago. However, I don't see that as being a reason why I should recuse myself, again I bought a home its been about 5 years ago. If I went to land management once a year, I would be very lucky, I don't have nothing on a day to day basis with them monthly, so I don't really see the relevance as to why I would not be able to rule in fairly or unbiased manners.
<u>2:16:43 PM</u>	Leah	Is your umm is your home on Tribal trust land?
<u>2:16:46 PM</u>	Jean	No. I pay taxes.
<u>2:16:50 PM</u>	Leland	Okay I would have re-take my too because I did purchase a house through Division of Land Management and its on trust land.
<u>2:16:59 PM</u>	Leah	Okay on that basis, I'm requesting you recuse yourself because Tribal trust land requires an on-going residential lease terms of 50 years and their always at their authority to inspect your property to make sure that your not in violation of any of Oneida Tribal Laws as well as the umm BIA and Federal Code of Federal Regulations regarding the use of Tribal trust land. So therefore I maintain my request that you recuse yourself.
<u>2:17:25 PM</u>	Leland	An actually I'm not on trust land. I think the Tribe maybe you can reem on this. Does the Tribe, I own the house on the land, the Tribe's land. I pay taxes on the house, its fee land so.
<u>2:17:45 PM</u>	Leah	But it belongs to the Tribe correct?

<u>2:17:51 PM</u>	Leland	Yeah.
<u>2:17:53 PM</u>	Leah	There's your, that is but that is still something governable by the Division of Land Management. There's still a fiduciary relationship there. So hearing officer Webster is that the case with you? Is it the Tribe's land but you still have to pay taxes?
<u>2:18:07 PM</u>	Jean	I told you I bought the home from land management.
<u>2:18:13 PM</u>	Leah	But who owns the land?
<u>2:18:14 PM</u>	Jean	Apparently the Tribe if I bought it from them. But again I'm going to back to even though may have bought the home from them, I still do not find as to grounds as to why I should recuse myself on hearing this case.
<u>2:18:30 PM</u>	Leah	I maintain that it does because there's an on-going fiduciary relationship between the Tribe and if its actively seeking to turn this land into Trust land that's an on-going thing and once it does go into Trust land then your going to have to enter into residential lease with the BIA that has to be recorded at the BIA. So therefore there's an on-going financial relationship and a contractual relationship between the Oneida Tribe Division of Land Management and Land Commission and yourself. So on that basis I maintain umm my strenuous objection to you being a hearing officer in my case.
<u>2:19:08 PM</u>	Jean	I'll note it for the record.
<u>2:19:08 PM</u>	Leah	And I do not.
<u>2:19:13 PM</u>	Leland	In regard to your request for my recusal, I can see the conflict of interest if perceive if this case went forward with me and knowing that its about me if I can make a decision or not. Its about you and you feel that's going to cause jeopardy to your case. I will recuse myself.
<u>2:19:43 PM</u>	Jean	Attorney Webster?
<u>2:19:48 PM</u>	Rebecca	Your honor, I'm not sure how far going to take this because if you really want to get down to the nitty gritty of how closely we are related as a community. If your a tribal member and your on the reservation, it doesn't matter what kind of land your, your subject to the Tribe's Regulations and if your owning a home or renting home, leasing home anywhere on the reservation regardless of status of the land. The Oneida Zoning Department can regulate the activities on your property and ultimately come to the Land Commission. So in essence the Petitioner can ask every single Judicial Officer that comes onto the reservation to recuse and as we sit here on reservation, we would all then be recused and there could be possibility for a hearing.
<u>2:20:32 PM</u>	Leland	Well like commission Webster said that it could be pro tems but you know she makes a connection to me because Division of Land Management, I do pay my house payment too and if they are in fact before me.
<u>2:20:58 PM</u>	Rebecca	There not, no your honor. This is an appeal of a decision from Land Commission based on a recommendation from the Zoning Department.
<u>2:21:05 PM</u>	Leland	Oh the Land Commission.

<u>2:21:05 PM</u>	Rebecca	Yes your honor.
<u>2:21:06 PM</u>	Leland	So that's if it was the Division of Land Management before me and then I would recuse myself but if its the Land Commission which you have right to appeal their decision, then explain to me then.
<u>2:21:20 PM</u>	Leah	The Land Commission has to approve all of these loans. So therefore and they also are regularity body over the Division of Land Management as a department, so therefore they do exercise regularity authority over the department hence there is that relationship there. And as far as extending the Zoning Laws to apply to pro tems, there's really not a connection there. I personally do not, there's no, that's broadening the relationship there. I still perceive a conflict of interest umm I see that if everyone who does have this direct fidiciary relationship with the Land Commission I do not because I don't live, I live on fee simple land, I don't have mortgage, I don't owe the Tribe any money, the Land Commission has nothing to do with my residency.
<u>2:22:18 PM</u>	Rebecca	Objection.
<u>2:22:21 PM</u>	Leah	So that is one example of a situation where the Land Commission does not have jurisdiction over what I do with my property, if I decide to sell it, its up to me and my purchaser.
<u>2:22:23 PM</u>	Jean	Attorney Webster?
<u>2:22:35 PM</u>	Rebecca	Yes the the Zoning Law applies to all fee status lands under the control of individual members. Are you an enrolled an Oneida Tribal member?
<u>2:22:48 PM</u>	Jean	Leah?
<u>2:22:49 PM</u>	Leah	I am. And I've perceive that Attorney Webster here is actually acting as a hostile.
<u>2:22:57 PM</u>	Jean	You need to answer question, she objecting to your response that you do not fall under this. So she can object and she's asking.
<u>2:23:05 PM</u>	Leah	And I'm not and I'm not sure why is it right to tell me whether I can see a conflict of interest or not. And it appears that she's advising you as hearing officers, as an attorney as an agent of the Tribe as to whether there's a causal relationship between the Oneida Land Commission and the Division of Land Management and that's inappropriate so I strongly object to her being to apply whether I feel there's a conflict of interest or not. I do, I believe that until there's disclosure by each of the Oneida Tribal Judicial Officers as to their relationships. We haven't even gone through this list of questions, where people can say.
<u>2:23:46 PM</u>	Jean	Okay. I need to rule before we move forward Leah, I need to rule on the objection and I'm going to overrule the objection at this time. So you may continue. Leah you may continue.
<u>2:24:00 PM</u>	Leah	Okay.
<u>2:24:01 PM</u>	Jean	Jim was answering his question to.

<u>2:24:04 PM</u>	Jim	My question was answered by Ms. Webster.
<u>2:24:10 PM</u>	Jean	Letter C?
<u>2:24:25 PM</u>	Leah	So your going back onto whether you or Jim VanStippen, whether you or your immediate family members, do you have any professional, personal or fiduciary relationships between yourself and the Oneida Land Commission or any of the individual Land Commissioners?
<u>2:24:27 PM</u>	Jim	No.
<u>2:24:29 PM</u>	Leah	Aside from their roles as Commissioners?
<u>2:24:31 PM</u>	Jim	No I don't.
<u>2:24:33 PM</u>	Leah	Do you reside on Tribal Trust Land?
<u>2:24:35 PM</u>	Jim	No.
<u>2:24:44 PM</u>	Leah	Okay. C; whether Brian A. Doxtator and/or any of the Oneida Land Commissioners are any of your immediate family members as defined by the Oneida Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct? Going back to that its all the way to first or second cousin, step-parent.
<u>2:25:05 PM</u>	Jean	So what is the question on that one Leah?
<u>2:25:08 PM</u>	Leah	Are you or do any of you have a relationship with Brian or the Land Commissioners within these degrees?
<u>2:25:15 PM</u>	Jean	I would have to say no.
<u>2:25:25 PM</u>	Leland	No I don't.
<u>2:25:29 PM</u>	Jim	To my knowledge no.
<u>2:25:37 PM</u>	Leland	What a minute? Who's on the Land Commission?
<u>2:25:44 PM</u>	Rebecca	I can answer that your honor. Amelia Cornelius, Pat Cornelius, Gary Jordan, Ted Hawk, Tehassi Hill, Lloyd Powless and Matt Denny.
<u>2:26:02 PM</u>	Leland	No not related to any of them.
<u>2:26:10 PM</u>	Leah	And what about Corina Charles and Racquel Hill because they were the actual Commissioners at the time this decision was made?
<u>2:26:17 PM</u>	Leland	No, not me.
<u>2:26:19 PM</u>	Jean	Not immediate.
<u>2:26:22 PM</u>	Jim	Its a no.
<u>2:26:31 PM</u>	Leah	And are any of you umm immediate family members as defined by the Judiciary Canons of Judicial Conduct of Oneida Tribal Senior Staff Attorney Rebecca Webster?
<u>2:26:46 PM</u>	Jean	For myself, yes.
<u>2:26:48 PM</u>	Leland	No.
<u>2:26:51 PM</u>	Jim	No.
<u>2:27:00 PM</u>	Leah	I maintain my objections to Leland Wigg-Ninham and Jean M. Webster for the reasons, for the reason enumerated as they have relationships with the Oneida Land Commission, having purchased umm house from the Tribe. I will not, I maintain my objection and continue, wish your recusal.

<u>2:27:36 PM</u>	Jean	What I'm going to do before I take a brief recess here. I need to ask the Respondent if there's anything they would like to add in regards to the Petitioner's request for our being recused?
<u>2:27:55 PM</u>	Rebecca	No your honors I believe you have the discretion to make this type of decision. I did want to again re-iterate that this isn't an issue with the Division of Land Management. The meetings are held in their building but its not about, the Oneida Zoning and Shoreland Protection Law has nothing to do with the Division of Land Management. Its the Zoning Department and the Land Commission.
<u>2:28:22 PM</u>	Jean	We are going to take 15 minutes recess and come back with our decision in regards to the recusal.
<u>2:28:31 PM</u>	Leah	I would also you know just one more re-iteration that the questions that I've asked of you would also appose to any those to any of the to the rest of the hearing officers on the list as well.
<u>2:28:44 PM</u>	Clerk	All rise.
<u>2:43:26 PM</u>	Clerk	All rise.
<u>2:43:36 PM</u>	Jean	Please have a seat. We've decided, we looked at the Petitioner's request, she had listed several items as to why all three of us should be recused from the case. There is a strong perception by the Petitioner, after discussion amongst the three of us we've decided to recuse ourselves from this case. I would like to see that Leah feels that she's going to get a fair and unbiased decision. So therefore we're going to recuse ourselves from the case. However, I do need to let you know what I will be doing is I will be sending a request to Wisconsin Tribal Judges Association and requesting a panel of three Judges. However, I do need to let you know Leah that, we can not dedict what their schedule will be, we can't say the hearing is schedule for October 9th is going to happen because they have their own schedule. So right now, I would have to say the hearing that was scheduled for October 9th which was to discuss the merits of the case is going to be canceled at this time because I have to put out a request to them, for them to respond to say these are the three Judges and for them to tell us what dates they are available. But I also need to let you know Leah that I don't know how certain, how fast this can happen, so the possibility of this building built is a good chance of being built because we're recusing ourselves we're not going to make any decisions on any of the requests that you brought forth. So we can't upon your request for placing a halt on that project because right now we just at the recusal piece of your motion for recusal. So because we are recusing ourselves we can't go any further, so your motion for to have a halt we can't grant that.

<u>2:45:58 PM</u>	Leah	Understood because I don't see any possible future ability if he's required to tear back down because he proceeded on a contested decision that will be a matter between him and in the future, its a hypothetical. I'd also like to note my objections in terms Wisconsin Judicial Officers Association, my objection to David Raasch of the Stockbridge Munsee for reasons that he has presided over a case involving me in the Menominee Tribal Court and in his decision which nearly took over, over 5 years to accomplish. In his decision actually ignored an incidence of perjury that had been committed by opposing council Attorney Mercedes Martin Swimmer so I strenuously object to his presense in my case. Also for Stephan Grochowski of the Menominee Tribal Judiciary has recused herself in cases involving me in the past and there is also and I also object to Robert Kittecon as well for those reason of their involvement in prior appeals. And also I would also to object Joseph Martin as he is the brother of Mercedes Martin Swimmer who has in the past has issued a personal threat against me and my past employee advocate. I'll take care of you myself, a copy of that tape is on YouTube so that's evidence that anyone can access at any time. So those are the individuals from Wisconsin Judicial Officers Association that I would definately object too.
<u>2:47:49 PM</u>	Jean	I will make note that however we can't we won't be making the selection. What I do is I will be sending a letter to the President of the Wisconsin Tribal Judges letting them know that we need three Judicial Officers, I give very, very brief who the case is against and that's basically to be Leah Dodge verses Oneida Land Commision. She doesn't select them what she does is she puts it out there and she asks people to respond to her. So once I send that letter to her it will be in the hands of President of Wisconsin Tribal Judges.
<u>2:48:35 PM</u>	Leah	Okay.
<u>2:48:36 PM</u>	Jean	If there's nothing further then court is adjourned.
<u>2:48:38 PM</u>	Clerk	All rise.