

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 17-CR-92

JAY L. FUSS,

Defendant.

ORDER

The defendant has filed a motion to adjourn the pretrial conference and trial date set in the above matter. Counsel requests that the matter be set on the calendar for a status hearing in approximately ninety days. In support of the motion, counsel notes that the defendant is free on his own recognizance, has complied with the conditions of supervision, is charged with a nonviolent property offense, and that he has been provided more than 4,800 pages of discovery with more to follow. Given the amount of discovery, counsel indicates that additional time is necessary in order for him to effectively represent his client. The government does not oppose the motion.

Based upon the foregoing, the motion is GRANTED. In addition the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). More specifically, the court finds that additional time is needed to enable counsel for the defendant to effectively prepare for trial and advise his client. Thus, the court concludes that the interest of either the public or the defendant in a speedy trial is outweighed by the interest of both for a fair trial. The court having granted the motion, the clerk is directed to set this matter on the court's calendar in approximately ninety days for a status conference.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2017.

s/William C. Griesbach
William C. Griesbach, Chief Judge
United States District Court