

Oneida Tribal Judicial System

Onayote 7 a-ka Tsi7 Shakotiya7 Tolé hte

ALL BE
JB
JH -

January 6, 2014

Oneida Seven Generations Corp.
P.O. Box 257
Oneida, WI 54155

Oneida Business Committee
P.O. Box 365
Oneida, WI 54155-0365

RE: Docket #13-TC-132, Oneida Seven Generations Corp. vs. Oneida Business Committee

Please find enclosed the Oneida Tribal Judicial System Decision on Petitioner's Motion to Dismiss filed on December 31, 2013, in the above captioned case. This correspondence is sent to the legal advocate/counsel of record, and Oneida Tribe Administration for enforcement, if necessary.

Should you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please do not hesitate to contact me.


Sincerely,



Kristina Danforth
Clerk of Trial Court

Enc: Decision

c: Secretary, Oneida Business Committee
File



Oneida Tribal Judicial System

Onlayote ʔ aka Tsiʔ Shakotiyaʔ Tolé hte

TRIAL COURT

**Bruce A. King,
Seven Generations Corp,
Petitioner**

Docket No: 13-TC-132

v.

**Oneida Business Committee,
Respondent**

DECISION ON PETITIONER'S MOTION TO DISMISS

This case has come before the Oneida Tribal Judicial System, Trial Court. Judicial Officers; Mary Adams, Sandra L. Skenadore, and Jean M. Webster, presiding.

Background

On December 23, 2013 Petitioner filed a motion for a Preliminary Injunction alleging his due process was denied by his removal from the Oneida Seven Generation Corp (OSGC) in direct result of the action by the General Tribal Council on December 15, 2013 “...*To direct the OBC to dissolve the OSGC based on the Law Office’s finding that the OSGC’s Corporate Charter identifies the shareholders (i.e. the Tribe) as represented by the Business Committee, can dissolve the Corporation.*” and for the potential harm that could be imposed not only upon the OSGC and its employees as well as the reputation and credibility of the Oneida Tribe and its ability to do business with commercial entities outside the reservation and the Oneida Tribe itself.

On December 23, 2013 a deliberation was held. Before the Court discussed Petitioner’s motion we had to decide if this Court has jurisdiction to adjudicate his complaint. In order to address

Petitioner's complaint, there are five (5) questions listed on the Original Complaint form that are designed to assist with identifying the issues. The Court found and ordered that Petitioner shall have five (5) days to perfect his filing and provide any supporting documentation that will assist the Court with his motion.


On December 31, 2013 Petitioner filed a Motion for and Notice of Dismissal of the Request for an Injunction. Petitioner requests that the Court accepts his voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 14(A), the party making the claim may file a notice of dismissal and that a perfected document is no longer necessary.


Decision


The Court accepts Petitioner's motion to voluntarily dismiss the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

By the authority vested in the Oneida Tribal Judicial System pursuant to Resolution 8-19-91A of the General Tribal Council and the decision was signed on this 31st day of December, 2013 in the matter of Bruce A. King & Seven Generations Corp. v Oneida Business Committee, Docket Number 13-TC-132.


Mary Adams, Lead Judicial Officer


Sandra L. Skenadore, Judicial Officer


Jean M. Webster, Judicial Officer